

**Remarks**


Applicants thank the Examiners for consideration of Applicants' 02 February 2004 amendment and the willingness to communicate further on this matter.

The instant Advisory Action states that the features of Applicants' invention upon which Applicant relies (*i.e.*, wherein the data records received from the plurality of observers are the observation data being stored and retrieved from the database) to distinguish the present invention from the cited art are not recited in the rejected claims. Proposed here are amendments to all the independent claims (1, 17, 18, 21, 22 and 24) that clarify that it is the observer location and associated observation data that are being received from the observers and stored in the database to allow subsequent searching and retrieval thereof.

Please call Applicants' attorney, Jacob Erlich (617.854.4000) to discuss any alternative expression of this inventive feature and/or any outstanding questions.

Respectfully submitted,  
STEVEN T. KELLING, *et al.*,  
Applicants

Dated: February 20, 2004

By:   
Jacob N. Erlich  
Reg. No. 24,338  
Attorney for Applicants

10845-131-RespC-022004.doc